arXiv:1403.6035v1 [hep-th] 24 Mar 2014

Remarks on the spin-one Duffin-Kemmer-Petiau equation in the presence of nonminimal vector interactions in (3+1) dimensions

L. B. Castro[1]
Departamento de Física, CFM
Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina,
88040-900, CP. 476, Florianópolis - SC, Brazil
and
L. P. de Oliveira[2]
Instituto de Física
Universidade de São Paulo,
05508-900, São Paulo - SP, Brazil

[1]luis.castro@pgfsc.ufsc.br
[2]luizp@if.usp.br

Abstract

In a recent paper published in this journal, Hassanabadi and collaborators [Advances in High Energy Physics, vol. 2012, Article ID 489641, 10 pages, 2012] analyzed the Duffin-Kemmer-Petiau equation in the presence of nonminimal vectorial interactions (Coulomb and harmonic oscillator potentials) in (3+1) dimensions for spin-one particles. In that paper, the authors used improperly the nonminimal vector interaction endangering in their main conclusions. We present a few properties of the nonminimal vector interactions and also present the correct equations to this problem. We show that the solution can be easily found by solving a Schrödinger-like equation. As an application of this procedure, we consider spin-one particles in presence of a nonminimal vector linear potential. Additionally, we present the correct set of first-order coupled differential radial equation for the DKP equation in the presence of minimal vector and scalar interactions.

Key-words: Duffin-Kemmer-Petiau theory; vector potential; bound state

PACS: 03.65.Ge; 03.65.Pm

1 Introduction

The Duffin-Kemmer-Petiau (DKP) formalism [1]-[4] describes spin-zero and spin-one particles and has been used to analyze relativistic interactions of spin-zero and spin-one hadrons with nuclei as an alternative to their conventional second-order Klein-Gordon (KG) and Proca counterparts. The DKP formalism proved to be better that the KG formalism in the analysis of K_{l3} decays, the decay-rate ratio $\Gamma(\eta \to \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma)$, and level shifts and widths in pionic atoms [5]-[16]. The DKP formalism enjoys a richness of couplings not capable of being expressed in the KG and Proca theories [17]-[18]. Although the formalisms are equivalent in the case of minimally coupled vector interactions [19]-[21], the DKP formalism opens new horizons as far as it allows other kinds of couplings which are not possible in the KG and Proca theories. The nonminimal vector interaction refers to a kind of charge conjugate invariant coupling that behaves like a vector under a Lorentz transformation. The invariance of the nonminimal vector potential under charge conjugation means that it does not distinguish particles from antiparticles. Hence, whether one consider spin-zero or spin-one bosons, this sort of interaction cannot exhibit Klein`s paradox [22]. Nonminimal vector potentials, added by other kinds of Lorentz structures, have already been used in a phenomenological context for describing the scattering of mesons by nuclei [23]-[32], but it should be mentioned that in Refs. [23]-[26], [28]-[30], [32] the nonminimal vector couplings have been used improperly. Nonminimal vector coupling with a quadratic potential [33], with a linear potential [34], and mixed space and time components with a step potential [35]-[36], double-step potential [37], a smooth step potential [38], a linear potential [22], [39], [40], and a linear plus inversely linear potential [41], have been explored in the literature. In a recent paper published in this journal, Hassanabadi and collaborators [42] analyze the DKP equation in the presence of nonminimal vectorial interactions (Coulomb and harmonic oscillator potentials) in (3+1) dimensions for spin-one particles. In that paper, the authors used improperly the nonminimal vector interaction endangering its main conclusions. The same mistake is found in recent works [43]-[51], for instance. Other misconception is found in Refs. [23]-[26], in where the space component of the nonminimal vector potential is absorbed into the spinor. As it is shown in [22], there is no chance to dissociate from this term. Furthermore, the space component of the nonminimal vector potential could be irrelevant for the formation of bound states for potentials vanishing at infinity, but its presence is an essential ingredient for confinement.

In view of the misconceptions on the nonminimal vector interaction propagated in the literature, the purpose of this Review Article is reviewed the DKP equation in the presence of a nonminimal vectorial interaction for spin-one particles in (3+1) dimensions. We present a few properties of the nonminimal vector interactions and also present the correct equations to this problem. We show that the solution can be easily found by solving a Schrödinger-like equation. As an application of this procedure, we consider spin-one particles in presence of a nonminimal vector linear potential. For this case in particular, the problem is mapped into the nonrelativistic three-dimensional harmonic oscillator. Additionally, we present the correct set of first-order coupled differential radial equation for the DKP equation with minimal vector and scalar interactions.

2 The DKP equation

The DKP equation for a free boson is given by [4] (with units in which $\hbar = c = 1$)

$$(i\beta^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\,\psi = 0 \tag{1}$$

where the matrices β^μ satisfy the algebra

$$\beta^\mu \beta^\nu \beta^\lambda + \beta^\lambda \beta^\nu \beta^\mu = g^{\mu\nu}\beta^\lambda + g^{\lambda\nu}\beta^\mu \tag{2}$$

and the metric tensor is $g^{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{diag}\,(1, -1, -1, -1)$. The algebra expressed by (2) generates a set of 126 independent matrices whose irreducible representations are a trivial representation, a five-dimensional representation describing the spin-zero particles and a ten-dimensional representation associated to spin-one particles. The DKP spinor has an excess of components and the theory has to be supplemented by an equation which allows us eliminate the redundant components. That constraint equation is obtained by multiplying the DKP equation by $1 - \beta^0\beta^0$, namely

$$i\beta^i \beta^0 \beta^0 \partial_i \psi = m\left(1 - \beta^0\beta^0\right)\psi \tag{3}$$

This constraint equation expresses three (four) components of the spinor by the other two (six) components and their space derivatives in the scalar (vector) sector so that the superfluous components disappear and there only remain the physical components of the DKP theory. The second-order Klein-Gordon and Proca equations are obtained when one selects the spin-zero and spin-one sectors of the DKP theory.

A well-known conserved four-current is given by

$$J^\mu = \frac{1}{2}\,\bar{\psi}\beta^\mu\psi \tag{4}$$

where the adjoint spinor $\bar{\psi}$ is given by $\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger\eta^0$ with $\eta^0 = 2\beta^0\beta^0 - 1$ in such a way that $(\eta^0\beta^\mu)^\dagger = \eta^0\beta^\mu$ (the matrices β^μ are Hermitian with respect to η^0). Despite the similarity to the Dirac equation, the DKP equation involves singular matrices, the time component of J^μ given by (4) is not positive definite and the case of massless bosons cannot be obtained by a limiting process [53]. Nevertheless, the matrices β^μ plus the unit operator generate a ring consistent with integer-spin algebra and J^0 may be interpreted as a charge density. The normalization condition $\int d\tau\, J^0 = \pm 1$ can be expressed as

$$\int d\tau\, \bar{\psi}\beta^0\psi = \pm 2 \tag{5}$$

where the plus (minus) sign must be used for a positive (negative) charge.

3 Interactions in the DKP equation

With the introduction of interactions, the DKP equation can be written as

$$(i\beta^\mu \partial_\mu - m - U)\,\psi = 0 \tag{6}$$

where the more general potential matrix U is written in terms of 25 (100) linearly independent matrices pertinent to five (ten)-dimensional irreducible representation associated to the scalar (vector) sector. In the presence of interaction, J^μ satisfies the equation

$$\partial_\mu J^\mu + \frac{i}{2}\,\bar{\psi}\left(U - \eta^0 U^\dagger \eta^0\right)\psi = 0 \tag{7}$$

Thus, if U is Hermitian with respect to η^0 then four-current will be conserved. The potential matrix U can be written in terms of well-defined Lorentz structures. For the spin-zero sector there are two scalar, two vector and two tensor terms [17], whereas for the spin-one sector there are two scalar, two vector, a pseudoscalar, two pseudovector and eight tensor terms [18]. The tensor terms have been avoided in applications because they furnish noncausal effects [17]-[18]. For the case of scalar and minimal vectorial interactions see Appendix A.

3.1 Nonminimal vector couplings in the DKP equation

Considering only the nonminimal vector interaction, the DKP equation can be written as

$$\left(i\beta^\mu\partial_\mu - m - i[P,\beta^\mu]A_\mu\right)\psi = 0 \tag{8}$$

where P is a projection operator ($P^2 = P$ and $P^\dagger = P$) in such a way that $\bar{\psi}[P,\beta^\mu]\psi$ behaves like a vector under a Lorentz transformation as does $\bar{\psi}\beta^\mu\psi$. One very important point to note is that this potential leads to a conserved four-current but the same does not happen if instead of $i[P,\beta^\mu]$ one uses either $P\beta^\mu$ or $\beta^\mu P$, as in [23]-[26], [28]-[30], [32], [42]-[51]. As a matter of fact, in [23] it is mentioned that $P\beta^\mu$ and $\beta^\mu P$ produce identical results.

The DKP equation is invariant under the parity operation, i.e. when $\vec{r} \to -\vec{r}$, if $\vec{A}$ changes sign, whereas A_0 remains the same. This is because the parity operator is $\mathcal{P} = \exp(i\delta_p)P_0\eta^0$, where δ_p is a constant phase and P_0 changes $\vec{r}$ into $-\vec{r}$. Because this unitary operator anticommutes with β^i and $[P,\beta^i]$, they change the sign under a parity transformation, whereas β^0 and $[P,\beta^0]$, which commute with η^0, remain the same. Since $\delta_p = 0$ or $\delta_p = \pi$, the spinor components have definite parities. The charge conjugation operation can be accomplished by the transformation $\psi \to \psi_c = \mathcal{C}\psi = CK\psi$, where K denotes the complex conjugation and C is a unitary matrix such that $C\beta^\mu = -\beta^\mu C$. The matrix that satisfies these relations is $C = \exp(i\delta_C)\eta^0\eta^1$. The phase factor $\exp(i\delta_C)$ is equal to ± 1, thus $E \to -E$. Note also that $J^\mu \to -J^\mu$, as should be expected for a charge current. Meanwhile C anticommutes with $[P,\beta^\mu]$ and the charge conjugation operation entails no change on A_μ. The invariance of the nonminimal vector potential under charge conjugation means that it does not couple to the charge of the boson. In other words, A_μ does not distinguish particles from antiparticles. Hence, whether one considers spin-zero or spin-one bosons, this sort of interaction cannot exhibit Klein`s paradox [22].

If the potential is time-independent one can write $\psi(\vec{r},t) = \varphi(\vec{r})\exp(-iEt)$, where E is the energy of the boson, in such a way that the time-independent DKP equation becomes

$$\left[\beta^0 E + i\beta^i\partial_i - \left(m + i[P,\beta^\mu]A_\mu\right)\right]\varphi = 0 \tag{9}$$

In this case $J^\mu = \bar{\varphi}\beta^\mu\varphi/2$ does not depend on time, so that the spinor φ describes a stationary state.

3.2 Vectorial sector

For the case of spin-one (vectorial sector), the β^μ matrices are [52]

$$\beta^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \overline{0} & \overline{0} & \overline{0} \\ \overline{0}^T & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \\ \overline{0}^T & \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \overline{0}^T & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \overline{0} & e_i & \overline{0} \\ \overline{0}^T & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & -is_i \\ -e_i^T & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \overline{0}^T & -is_i & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \tag{10}$$

where s_i are the 3×3 spin-1 matrices $(s_i)_{jk} = -i\varepsilon_{ijk}$, e_i are the 1×3 matrices $(e_i)_{1j} = \delta_{ij}$ and $\overline{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, while $\mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{0}$ designate the 3×3 unit and zero matrices, respectively, while the superscript T designates matrix transposition. In this representation $P = \beta^\mu\beta_\mu - 2 = \mathrm{diag}\,(1,1,1,1,0,0,0,0,0,0)$, i.e. P projects out the four upper components of the DKP spinor. The ten-component spinor can be written as $\varphi^T = (\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_{10})$ and partitioned as (following the notation of Ref. [42])

$$\varphi_1 = i\phi, \quad \vec{F} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_2 \\ \varphi_3 \\ \varphi_4 \end{pmatrix} \tag{11}$$

$$\vec{G} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_5 \\ \varphi_6 \\ \varphi_7 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_8 \\ \varphi_9 \\ \varphi_{10} \end{pmatrix} \tag{12}$$

the DKP equation in (3+1) dimensions can be expressed in the compact form

$$i\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{F} - i\vec{A} \times \vec{F} = m\vec{H} \tag{13}$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{G} + \vec{A} \cdot \vec{G} = m\phi \tag{14}$$

$$i\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{H} + i\vec{A} \times \vec{H} = m\vec{F} - (E - iA_0)\vec{G} \tag{15}$$

$$\vec{\nabla}\phi - \vec{A}\phi = m\vec{G} - (E + iA_0)\vec{F} \tag{16}$$

At this stage is worthwhile to mention that the eqs. (13)-(16) are completely different from those given in [42] and this fact is due to use improperly the nonminimal vector coupling. These facts should be enough to invalidate the results presented in the Refs. [42]-[51].

Using the standard procedure developed in [54], we put

$$\phi = \phi_{nj}(r) Y_j^{m_j}(\theta, \varphi) \tag{17}$$

$$\vec{F} = \sum_l F_{njl}(r) \vec{Y}_{jlm_j}(\theta, \varphi) \tag{18}$$

$$\vec{G} = \sum_l G_{njl}(r) \vec{Y}_{jlm_j}(\theta, \varphi) \tag{19}$$

$$\vec{H} = \sum_l H_{njl}(r) \vec{Y}_{jlm_j}(\theta, \varphi) \tag{20}$$

where ϕ_{nj}, F_{njl}, G_{njl} and H_{njl} are radial wave functions while $Y_j^{m_j}(\theta, \varphi)$ is the the usual spherical harmonics of order j, and $\vec{Y}_{jlm_j}(\theta, \varphi)$ is the vector spherical harmonics. Then, using the notation

$$F_{njj} = F_0, \qquad F_{njj\pm1} = F_{\pm1} \tag{21}$$

and similar definitions for G_0, $G_{\pm1}$, H_0 and $H_{\pm1}$ together with the properties of vector spherical harmonics (see Appendix B), we can get a set of first-order coupled differential radial equations. Substituting (46) and (48) in (13) and if we consider spherically symmetric potentials $A_0 = A_0(r)$ and $\vec{A} = A_r(r)\hat{r}$, the radial differential equation obtained from (13) are

$$\left(\frac{dF_0}{dr} - \frac{j}{r}F_0 - A_r F_0\right) = -\frac{1}{\zeta_j} m H_{+1} \tag{22}$$

$$\left(\frac{dF_0}{dr} + \frac{j+1}{r}F_0 - A_r F_0\right) = -\frac{1}{\alpha_j} m H_{-1} \tag{23}$$

$$-\zeta_j\left(\frac{dF_{+1}}{dr} + \frac{j+2}{r}F_{+1} - A_r F_{+1}\right) - \alpha_j\left(\frac{dF_{-1}}{dr} - \frac{j-1}{r}F_{-1} - A_r F_{-1}\right) = m H_0 \tag{24}$$

where $\alpha_j = \sqrt{(j+1)/(2j+1)}$ and $\zeta_j = \sqrt{j/(2j+1)}$.
Similarly, substituting (45) and (47) in (14), we obtain

$$-\alpha_j\left(\frac{dG_{+1}}{dr} + \frac{j+2}{r}G_{+1} + A_r G_{+1}\right) + \zeta_j\left(\frac{dG_{-1}}{dr} - \frac{j-1}{r}G_{-1} + A_r G_{-1}\right) = m\phi \tag{25}$$

The radial equation obtained from (15) are

$$\left(\frac{dH_0}{dr} - \frac{j}{r}H_0 + A_r H_0\right) = -\frac{1}{\zeta_j}\left(m F_{+1} - (E - iA_0)G_{+1}\right) \tag{26}$$

$$\left(\frac{dH_0}{dr} + \frac{j+1}{r}H_0 + A_r H_0\right) = -\frac{1}{\alpha_j}\left(m F_{-1} - (E - iA_0)G_{-1}\right) \tag{27}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\zeta_j\left(\frac{dH_{+1}}{dr} + \frac{j+2}{r}H_{+1} + A_r H_{+1}\right) - \\ \alpha_j\left(\frac{dH_{-1}}{dr} - \frac{j-1}{r}H_{-1} + A_r H_{-1}\right) \;\; = \;\; (m F_0 - (E - iA_0)G_0) \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Finally, from (16) we get

$$(E + iA_0)\, F_0 = m G_0 \tag{29}$$

$$\left(\frac{d\phi}{dr} - \frac{j}{r}\phi - A_r\phi\right) = -\frac{1}{\alpha_j}\left(m G_{+1} - (E + iA_0)F_{+1}\right) \tag{30}$$

$$\left(\frac{d\phi}{dr} + \frac{j+1}{r}\phi - A_r\phi\right) = \frac{1}{\zeta_j}\left(m G_{-1} - (E + iA_0)F_{-1}\right) \tag{31}$$

Using the eqs. (22), (23) and (29) the components H_{+1}, H_{-1} and G_0 can be eliminated in favor of F_0 then by inserting they in (28) and if one redefines $F_0(r) = u(r)/r$, the radial function $u(r)$ obeys the second-order differential equation

$$\frac{d^2u(r)}{dr^2} + \left[k^2 - \frac{dA_r}{dr} - \frac{j(j+1)}{r^2} - A_r^2\right] u(r) = 0 \tag{32}$$

where $k^2 = E^2 - m^2 + A_0^2$ and because $\nabla^2(1/r) = -4\pi\delta(\vec{r})$, unless the potentials contain a delta function at the origin, one must impose the homogeneous Dirichlet condition $u(0) = 0$. At this stage is worthwhile to mention that (32) is very similar to DKP equation for spin-zero particles in (3+1) dimensions except for the term $-2A_r/r$ [40]. Therefore, for motion in a central field, the solution of the three-dimensional DKP equation with nonminimal vectorial interaction can be found by solving a Schrödinger-like equation. The other components are obtained through of (22)-(27) and (29)-(31).

3.3 Nonminimal vector linear potential

Having set up the spin-one equations for nonminimal vector interaction, we are now in a position to use the machinery developed above in order to solve the DKP equation for some specific form of the nonminimal interaction. As an application of this procedure, let us consider a nonminimal vector linear potential in the form

$$A_0 = m^2\lambda_0 r \qquad A_r = m^2\lambda_r r \tag{33}$$

where λ_0 and λ_r are dimensionless quantities. Substituting (33) in (32), one finds that $u(r)$ obeys the second-order differential equation

$$\frac{d^2u}{dr^2} + \left[K^2 - \lambda^2 r^2 - \frac{j(j+1)}{r^2}\right] u = 0 \tag{34}$$

where

$$K = \sqrt{E^2 - m^2(1+\lambda_r)} \qquad \lambda = m^2\sqrt{\lambda_r^2 - \lambda_0^2}\,. \tag{35}$$

Considering $u(0) = 0$ and $\int_0^\infty dr|u|^2 < \infty$, the solution for (34) with K and λ real is the well-known solution of the Schrödinger equation for the three-dimensional harmonic oscillator. Note that the condition λ real implies that $|\lambda_r| > |\lambda_0|$, meaning that the radial component of the nonminimal vectorial potential must be stronger that its time component in order to the effective potential be a true confining potential. On the other hand, if $\lambda_r = 0$ or $|\lambda_r| < |\lambda_0|$, we obtain $\lambda = i|\lambda|$ and the effective potential in this case will be an inverted harmonic oscillator and the energy spectrum will consist of a continuum corresponding to unbound states. Therefore, the presence of radial component of the nonminimal vector potential is an essential ingredient for confinement.

An detailed study of this effective potential is done in [40]. Using the results of Ref. [40] the solution is expressed as

$$|E| = m\sqrt{1 + \lambda_r + (2n+3)\sqrt{\lambda_r^2 - \lambda_0^2}} \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \tag{36}$$

$$u(r) = N_{n\,j} r^j e^{-\lambda r^2/2} L_{\frac{n-j}{2}}^{(j+1/2)}(\lambda r^2) \tag{37}$$

where $N_{n\,j}$ is a normalization constant, $n = 2N + j$ with N a nonnegative integer. Note that j can take values $0, 2, \ldots, n$ when n is an even number, and $1, 3, \ldots, n$ when n is an odd number and also that for each value of j there are $2j + 1$ different values of m_j. All the energy levels are degenerate with the exception of $N = 0$. The degeneracy of the level of energy for a given principal quantum number n is given by $(n+1)(n+2)/2$ as a consequence of the presence of essential and accidental degeneracies.

From (36), we can see that there is an infinite set of discrete energies (symmetrical about $E = 0$) irrespective to sign of λ_0 and although positive- and negative- energy levels do not touch, they can be very close to each other for moderately strong coupling constants without any danger of reaching the conditions for Klein`s paradox. The absence of Klein`s paradox for this kind of interaction is attributes to fact that the nonminimal vectorial interaction does not distinguish particles from antiparticles [36].

4 Final remarks

In this Review Article, we showed the correct use and also presented a few properties of the nonminimal vector interactions in the Duffin-Kemmer-Petiau (DKP) formalism. A relativistic wave equation must carry a conserved four-current to exhibit symmetries in physical problems. In this spirit, we showed that the four-current is not conserved when one use either the matrix potential $P\beta^{\mu}$ or $\beta^{\mu}P$ (widely used in the literature), even though the linear forms constructed from those matrices potentials behave as true Lorentz vectors. Also, we presented the correct equations for the problem addressed in [42]. In this case, we found an equation very similar to DKP equation for spin-zero particles in (3+1) dimensions, except for the term $-2A_r/r$. Therefore, the solution of the three-dimensional DKP equation with nonminimal vectorial interactions can be found by solving a Schrödinger-like equation. As an application of the procedure developed, we considered the problem of spin-one particles in the presence of a nonminimal linear vector potential and discussed the necessary conditions in order to the effective potential to be true confining potential. The absence of Klein`s paradox is attributes to fact that the nonminimal vectorial interaction does not distinguish particles from antiparticles [36].

Ours results are definitely useful because they shed some light on the understanding of the nonminimal vector interactions. Furthermore, the correct use of the nonminimal vectorial interaction may be useful due to wide applications in the description of elastic meson-nucleus scattering. Additionally, in the Appendix A, we showed the correct set of first-order coupled differential radial equation for the DKP equation in the presence of minimal vector and scalar interactions.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by means of funds provided by CAPES.

Appendix A: Minimal vector and scalar couplings in the DKP equation

In this appendix, we present the correct set of first-order coupled differential radial equation for the DKP equation in the presence of minimal vector and scalar interactions. Considering the time-like minimal vector (U_v^0) and scalar (U_s) interactions, the time-independent DKP equation for spin-one particles becomes

$$\left[\beta^0 E + i\beta^i\partial_i - (m + U_s) - \beta^0 U_v^0\right]\varphi = 0 \tag{38}$$

The ten-component spinor can be written as $\varphi^T = (\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_{10})$ and partitioned as

$$\varphi_1 = i\phi, \quad \vec{F} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_2 \\ \varphi_3 \\ \varphi_4 \end{pmatrix} \tag{39}$$

$$\vec{G} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_5 \\ \varphi_6 \\ \varphi_7 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_8 \\ \varphi_9 \\ \varphi_{10} \end{pmatrix} \tag{40}$$

the DKP equation in (3+1) dimensions can be expressed in the compact form

$$\vec{\nabla}\cdot\vec{G} = (m+U_s)\phi \tag{41}$$
$$i\vec{\nabla}\times\vec{F} = (m+U_s)\vec{H} \tag{42}$$
$$i\vec{\nabla}\times\vec{H} = (m+U_s)\vec{F}-(E-U_v^0)\vec{G} \tag{43}$$
$$\vec{\nabla}\phi = (m+U_s)\vec{G}-(E-U_v^0)\vec{F} \tag{44}$$

Using the standard procedure developed in [54], we put

$$\phi = \phi_{nj}(r)Y_j^{m_j}(\theta,\varphi) \tag{45}$$

$$\vec{F} = \sum_l F_{njl}(r)\vec{Y}_{jlm_j}(\theta,\varphi) \tag{46}$$

$$\vec{G} = \sum_l G_{njl}(r)\vec{Y}_{jlm_j}(\theta,\varphi) \tag{47}$$

$$\vec{H} = \sum_l H_{njl}(r)\vec{Y}_{jlm_j}(\theta,\varphi) \tag{48}$$

where ϕ_{nj}, F_{njl}, G_{njl} and H_{njl} are radial wave functions while $Y_j^{m_j}(\theta,\varphi)$ is the the usual spherical harmonics of order j, and $\vec{Y}_{jlm_j}(\theta,\varphi)$ is the vector spherical harmonics. Then, using the notation

$$F_{njj} = F_0, \qquad F_{njj\pm1} = F_{\pm1} \tag{49}$$

and similar definitions for G_0, $G_{\pm1}$, H_0 and $H_{\pm1}$ together with the properties of vector spherical harmonics (see Appendix B), we can get a set of first-order coupled differential radial equations. The radial differential equation obtained from (41) are

$$-\alpha_j\left(\frac{dG_{+1}}{dr}+\frac{j+2}{r}G_{+1}\right)+\zeta_j\left(\frac{dG_{-1}}{dr}-\frac{j-1}{r}G_{-1}\right)=(m+U_s)\phi \tag{50}$$

where $\alpha_j=\sqrt{(j+1)/(2j+1)}$ and $\zeta_j=\sqrt{j/(2j+1)}$.
From (42), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{dF_0}{dr}-\frac{j}{r}F_0\right)=-\frac{1}{\zeta_j}(m+U_s)H_{+1} \tag{51}$$

$$\left(\frac{dF_0}{dr}+\frac{j+1}{r}F_0\right)=-\frac{1}{\alpha_j}(m+U_s)H_{-1} \tag{52}$$

$$-\zeta_j\left(\frac{dF_{+1}}{dr}+\frac{j+2}{r}F_{+1}\right)-\alpha_j\left(\frac{dF_{-1}}{dr}-\frac{j-1}{r}F_{-1}\right)=(m+U_s)H_0 \tag{53}$$

The radial equation obtained from (43) are

$$\left(\frac{dH_0}{dr}-\frac{j}{r}H_0\right)=-\frac{1}{\zeta_j}\left((m+U_s)F_{+1}-(E-U_v^0)G_{+1}\right) \tag{54}$$

$$\left(\frac{dH_0}{dr}+\frac{j+1}{r}H_0\right)=-\frac{1}{\alpha_j}\left((m+U_s)F_{-1}-(E-U_v^0)G_{-1}\right) \tag{55}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\zeta_j \left(\frac{dH_{+1}}{dr} + \frac{j+2}{r} H_{+1} \right) - & \\
\alpha_j \left(\frac{dH_{-1}}{dr} - \frac{j-1}{r} H_{-1} \right) \quad &= \quad \left((m+U_s) F_0 - (E - U_v^0) G_0 \right) \qquad (56)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, from eq. (44) we get

$$
\left(E - U_v^0 \right) F_0 = (m + U_s) G_0 \qquad (57)
$$

$$
\left(\frac{d\phi}{dr} - \frac{j}{r} \phi \right) = -\frac{1}{\alpha_j} \left((m+U_s) G_{+1} - (E + -U_v^0) F_{+1} \right) \qquad (58)
$$

$$
\left(\frac{d\phi}{dr} + \frac{j+1}{r} \phi \right) = \frac{1}{\zeta_j} \left((m+U_s) G_{-1} - (E - U_v^0) F_{-1} \right) \qquad (59)
$$

At this stage is worthwhile to mention that the equations (50)-(59) are different from those given in [54].

Appendix B: The vector spherical harmonics

The properties of the vector spherical harmonics used in this work are obtained from [55]. The list of properties is the following

$$\hat{r}Y_j^{m_j} = -\alpha_j \vec{Y}_{j\,j+1\,m_j} + \zeta_j \vec{Y}_{j\,j-1\,m_j} \tag{60}$$

$$\vec{\nabla} Y_j^{m_j} = \alpha_j \frac{j}{r} \vec{Y}_{j\,j+1\,m_j} + \zeta_j \frac{j+1}{r} \vec{Y}_{j\,j-1\,m_j} \tag{61}$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot (f(r)\vec{Y}_{j\,j+1\,m_j}) = -\alpha_j \left(\frac{df}{dr} + \frac{j+2}{r} f \right) Y_j^{m_j} \tag{62}$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot (f(r)\vec{Y}_{j\,j\,m_j}) = 0 \tag{63}$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot (f(r)\vec{Y}_{j\,j-1\,m_j}) = \zeta_j \left(\frac{df}{dr} - \frac{j-1}{r} f \right) Y_j^{m_j} \tag{64}$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \times (f(r)\vec{Y}_{j\,j+1\,m_j}) = i\zeta_j \left(\frac{df}{dr} + \frac{j+2}{r} f \right) \vec{Y}_{j\,j\,m_j} \tag{65}$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \times (f(r)\vec{Y}_{j\,j\,m_j}) = i\zeta_j \left(\frac{df}{dr} - \frac{j}{r} f \right) \vec{Y}_{j\,j+1\,m_j} + i\alpha_j \left(\frac{df}{dr} + \frac{j+1}{r} f \right) \vec{Y}_{j\,j-1\,m_j} \tag{66}$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \times (f(r)\vec{Y}_{j\,j-1\,m_j}) = i\alpha_j \left(\frac{df}{dr} - \frac{j-1}{r} f \right) \vec{Y}_{j\,j\,m_j} \tag{67}$$

$$\hat{r} \times \vec{Y}_{j\,j+1\,m_j} = i\zeta_j \vec{Y}_{j\,j\,m_j} \tag{68}$$

$$\hat{r} \times \vec{Y}_{j\,j\,m_j} = i\zeta_j \vec{Y}_{j\,j+1\,m_j} + i\alpha_j \vec{Y}_{j\,j-1\,m_j} \tag{69}$$

$$\hat{r} \times \vec{Y}_{j\,j-1\,m_j} = i\alpha_j \vec{Y}_{j\,j\,m_j} \tag{70}$$

$$\hat{r} \cdot \vec{Y}_{j\,j+1\,m_j} = -\alpha_j Y_j^{m_j} \tag{71}$$

$$\hat{r} \cdot \vec{Y}_{j\,j\,m_j} = 0 \tag{72}$$

$$\hat{r} \cdot \vec{Y}_{j\,j-1\,m_j} = \zeta_j Y_j^{m_j} \tag{73}$$

References

[1] G. Petiau, University of Paris thesis, Published in Académie Royale de Médecine de Belgique, Classe des Sciences, Mémoires in 8^o, 16, 2, 1936.

[2] N. Kemmer, "Quantum theory of Einstein-Bose particles and nuclear interaction," *Proceedings of Royal Society A*, vol. 166, pp. 127-153, 1938.

[3] R. J. Duffin, "On the characteristic matrices of covariant systems," *Physical Review*, vol 54, no. 12, p. 1114, 1938.

[4] N. Kemmer, "The particle aspect of meson theory," *Proceedings of Royal Society A*, vol. 173, pp. 91-116, 1939.

[5] E. Fischblach, F. Iachello, A. Lande *et al.*, "K_{l3} form-factors and the scaling behavior of spin-0 fields," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 26, no. 19, pp. 1200-1203, 1971.

[6] E. Fischblach, M. M. Nieto, H. Primakoff *et al.*, "Resolution of the Discrepancy in the Determination of the Cabibbo Angle θ_V from K_{l3} and Nuclear β Decays," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 27, no. 20, pp. 1403-1406, 1971.

[7] E. Fischblach, M. M. Nieto, H. Primakoff *et al.*, "Resolution of the $SU(3)$ puzzle: $\Gamma(\eta \to \gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma)$," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 29, no. 15, pp. 1046-1049, 1972.

[8] N. G. Deshpande and P. C. McNamee, "Some difficulties with the use of the Kemmer-Duffin formalism for pseudoscalar mesons," *Physical Review D*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 1012-1014, 1972.

[9] A. O. Barut and Z. Z. Aydin, "Value of the ξ parameter in K_{l3} decay from the pion-gauge condition," *Physical Review D*, vol. 6, no. 11, pp. 3340-3342, 1972.

[10] E. Fischblach, M. M. Nieto, and C. K. Scott, "Spin-0 phenomenology of K_{l3} decays in the K-pole model," *Physical Review D*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 207-214, 1973.

[11] Z. Z. Aydin and A. O. Barut, "K_{l3} and π_{e3} decays in terms of the Kemmer β current," *Physical Review D*, vol. 7, no. 11, pp. 3522-3525, 1973.

[12] M. D. Scadron and R. L. Thews, "Inconsistency of a Duffin-Kemmer-Petiau model for meson decay rates," *Physical Review D*, vol. 9, no. 7, pp. 2180-2182, 1974.

[13] E. Fischblach, M. M. Nieto, H. Primakoff *et al.*, "Meson decays and the DKP equation," *Physical Review D*, vol. 9, no. 7, pp. 2183-2186, 1974.

[14] E. Fischblach, M. M. Nieto, and C. K. Scott, "Structure of the Duffin-Kemmer-Petiau matrix element for K_{l3} decay," *Progress of Theorical Physics,* vol. 51, pp. 1585-1597, 1974.

[15] F. T. Meiere, E. Fischbach, A. McDonald *et al.*, "SU(3)-symmetric coupling of mesons to baryons and the strong D/F ratio," *Physical Review D*, vol. 8, no. 11, pp. 4209-4212, 1973.

[16] E. Friedman, G. Kälbermann, and C. J. Batty, "Kemmer-Duffin-Petiau equation for pionic atoms and anomalous strong interaction effects," *Physical Review C*, vol. 34, no. 6, pp. 2244-2247, 1986.

[17] See, e.g., R. F. Guertin and T. L. Wilson, "Noncausal propagation in spin-0 theories with external field interactions," *Physical Review D,* vol. 15, pp. 1518-1531, 1977.

[18] See, e.g., B. Vijayalakshmi, M. Seetharaman, and P. M. Mathews, "Consistency of spin-1 theories in external electromagnetic fields," *Journal of Physics A*, vol. 12, p. 665, 1979.

[19] M. Riedel, Relativistische Gleichungen Fuer Spin-1-Teilchen, Diplomarbeit, Institute for Theoretical Physics, JohannWolfgang Goethe-University, Frankfurt, Germany, 1979.

[20] M. Nowakowski, "The electromagnetic coupling in Kemmer-Petiau-Duffin theory," *Physics Letters A*, vol. 244, no. 5, pp. 329-337, 1998.

[21] J. T. Lunardi, B. M. Pimentel, R. G. Teixeira, and J. S. Valverde, "Remarks on Duffin-Kemmer-Petiau theory and gauge invariance," *Physics Letters A*, vol. 268, no. 3, pp. 165–173, 2000.

[22] T. R. Cardoso, L. B. Castro, and A. S. de Castro, "On the nonminimal vector coupling in the Duffin-Kemmer-Petiau theory and the confinement of massive bosons by a linear potential," *Journal of Physics A*, vol. 43, no. 5, Article ID 055306, 2010.

[23] B. C. Clark, S. Hama, G. R. Kälbermann *et al.*, "Relativistic impulse approximation for meson-nucleus scattering in the Kemmer-Duffin-Petiau formalism," *Physical Review Letter*s, vol. 55, pp. 592–595, 1985.

[24] G. Kälbermann, "Kemmer-Duffin-Petiau equation approach to pionic atoms," *Physical Review C*, vol. 34, pp. 2240–2243, 1986.

[25] R. E. Kozack, B. C. Clark, S. Hama et al., "Relativistic deuteron-nucleus scattering in the Kemmer-Duffin-Petiau formalism," *Physical Review C*, vol. 37, pp. 2898–2901, 1988.

[26] R. E. Kozack, B. C. Clark, S. Hama *et al.*, "Spin-one Kemmer-Duffin-Petiau equations and intermediate-energy deuteron-nucleus scattering," *Physical Review C*, vol. 40, pp. 2181–2194, 1989.

[27] V. K. Mishra, B. C. Clark, S. Hama *et al.*, "Implications of various spin-one relativistic wave equations for intermediate-energy deuteron-nucleus scattering," *Physical Review C*, vol. 43, pp. 801–811, 1991.

[28] L. J. Kurth, B. C. Clark, E. D. Cooper *et al.*, "Relativistic impulse approximation treatment of the elastic scattering of 400 MeV $\pi^{\pm}$ on ^{28}Si," *Physical Review C*, vol. 50, pp. 2624-2626, 1994.

[29] R. C. Barret and Y. Nedjadi, "Meson-nuclear interactions in the Duffin-Kemmer-Petiau formalism," *Nuclear Physics A*, vol. 585, pp. 311-312, 1995.

[30] L. J. Kurth, B. C. Clark, E. D. Cooper *et al.*, "Meson-nucleus scattering in the KDP formalism," *Nuclear Physics A*, vol. 585, pp. 335c-336c , 1995.

[31] S. Ait-Tahar, J. S. Al-Khalili, and Y. Nedjadi, "A relativistic model for α-nucleus elastic scattering," *Nuclear Physics A*, vol. 589, pp. 307-319, 1995.

[32] B. C. Clark, R. J. Furnstahla, L. K. Kerr *et al.*, "Pion-nucleus scattering at medium energies with densities from chiral effective field theories," *Physics Letters B*, vol. 427, no. 3-4, pp. 231–234, 1998.

[33] Y. Nedjadi, S. Ait-Tahar, and R. C. Barrett, "An extended relativistic quantum oscillator for particles," *Journal of Physics A*, vol. 31, no. 16, pp. 3867–3874, 1998.

[34] D. A. Kulikov, R. S. Tutik, and A. P. Yaroshenko, "An alternative model for the Duffin-Kemmer-Petiau oscillator," *Modern Physics Letters A*, vol. 20, pp. 43-49, 2005.

[35] T. R. Cardoso, L. B. Castro, and A. S. de Castro, "Comment on solutions of the Duffin–Kemmer–Petiau equation for a pseudoscalar potential step in (1+1) dimensions," *Canadian Journal of Physics*, vol. 87, pp. 857-859, 2009.

[36] T. R. Cardoso, L. B. Castro, and A. S. de Castro, "Absence of Klein's paradox for massive bosons coupled by nonminimal vector interactions," *Canadian Journal of Physics*, vol. 87, pp. 1185-1189, 2009.

[37] L. P. de Oliveira and A. S. de Castro, "Scattering and bound states of spin-0 particles in a nonminimal vector double-step potential," *Canadian Journal of Physics*, vol. 90, pp. 481-486, 2012.

[38] L. B. Castro, T. R. Cardoso, and A. S. de Castro, "On the scattering of massive spinless bosons by a nonminimal vector smooth step potential," *Nuclear Physics B - Proceedings Supplements*, vol. 199, pp. 207-210, 2010.

[39] T. R. Cardoso, L. B. Castro, and A. S. de Castro, "Confining solutions of massive spin-0 bosons by a linear nonminimal vector coupling in the Duffin-Kemmer-Petiau theory," *Nuclear Physics B - Proceedings Supplements*, vol. 199, pp. 203-206, 2010.

[40] L. B. Castro, and A. S. de Castro, "Spinless bosons embedded in a vector Duffin–Kemmer–Petiau oscillator," *Physics Letters A*, vol. 375, pp. 2596-2600, 2011.

[41] T. R. Cardoso, L. B. Castro, and A. S. de Castro, "An effective singular oscillator for Duffin–Kemmer–Petiau particles with a nonminimal vector coupling: a two-fold degeneracy," *Journal of Physics A*, vol. 45, 075302 ,2012.

[42] H. Hassanabadi, Z. Molaee, M. Ghominejad *et al.*, "Spin-one DKP equation in the presence of coulomb and harmonic oscillator interactions in (1+3)-dimension," *Advances in High Energy Physics*, vol. 2012, Article ID 489641, 10 pages, 2012.

[43] Z. Molaee, M. Ghominejad, H. Hassanabadi *et al.*, "S-wave solutions of spin-one DKP equation for a deformed Hulthén potential in (1+3) dimensions," *European Physical Journal Plus*, vol. 127, p. 116, 2012.

[44] H. Hassanabadi, Z. Molaee, and S. Zrrinkamar, "Relativistic vector bosons under Poschl-Teller double-ring-shaped coulomb potential," *Modern Physics Letters A*, vol. 39, 1250228, 2012.

[45] H. Hassanabadi, Z. Molaee, M. Ghominejad *et al.*, "Duffin-Kemmer-Petiau equation with a hyperbolical potential in (2+1) dimensions for spin-one particles," *Few-Body Systems,* vol. 54, pp. 1765-1772, 2013.

[46] H. Hassanabadi, Z. Molaee, and A. Boumali, "Exact solutions of the Duffin-Kemmer-Petiau equation with a pseudoharmonic potential in the presence of a magnetic field in (1+2) dimensions," *Foundations of Physics*, vol. 43, pp. 225-235, 2013.

[47] Z. Molaee, M.K. Bahar, F. Yasuk *et al.*, "Solutions of the Duffin–Kemmer–Petiau equation in the presence of Hulthén potential in (1+2) dimensions for unity spin particles using the asymptotic iteration method," *Chinese Physics B*, vol. 22, 060306, 2013.

[48] H. Hassanabadi, Z. Molaee, S. Zarrinkamar *et al.*, "Exact solutions of spin-one DKP equation under Kratzer potential in (1+2) dimensions," *Physics of Particles and Nuclei Letters,* vol. 10, pp. 699-703, 2013.

[49] H. Hassanabadi, M. Kamali, and B.H. Yazarloo, "Spin-one Duffin-Kemmer-Petiau equation in the presence of Manning-Rosen potential plus a ring-shaped-like potential," *Canadian Journal of Physics,* vol. 92, pp. 1-7, 2014.

[50] A. Moradzadeh and H. Hassanabadi, "Quasi-Maxwell equation for spin-1 particles," *International Journal of Modern Physics E*, vol. 23, pp. 1450007, 2014.

[51] M. K. Bahar, and F. Yasuk, "Relativistic solutions for the spin-1 particles in the two-dimensional Smorodinsky-Winternitz potential," *Annals of Physics*, vol. 344, pp. 105-117, 2014.

[52] T. R. Cardoso, L. B. Castro, and A. S. de Castro, "Inconsistencies of a purported probability current in the Duffin-Kemmer-Petiau theory," *Physics Letters A*, vol. 372, no. 38, pp. 5964-5967, 2008.

[53] T. R. Cardoso, L. B. Castro, and A. S. de Castro, "Effects due to a scalar coupling on the particle-antiparticle production in the Duffin-Kemmer-Petiau theory," *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 10-17, 2010.

[54] Y. Nedjadi and R. C. Barret, "Solution of the central fiel problem for a Duffin-Kemmer-Petiau vector boson," *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 35, no. 9, pp. 4517-4533, 1994.

[55] E. L. Hill, "The theory of vector spherical harmonics," *American Journal of Physics*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 211-214, 1954.